Development of a Chemical Equilibrium Model of the Downdraft Fixed Bed Gasification Process with known Product Temperature, Using air as an Oxidizing Agent*

Desarrollo de un modelo de equilibrio químico del proceso de gasificación en un lecho fijo de corrientes descendentes con temperatura conocida del producto, utilizando el aire como agente oxidante

Recived: April 1, 2019 | Accepted: October 23, 2019 | Published: February 24, 2020

Daniel Andrés Quintero-Coronel^a

Universidad Francisco de Paula Santander, Ocaña, Colombia. ORCID: 0000-0003-2822-5984

Edwin Edgardo Espinel-Blanco Universidad Francisco de Paula Santander, Ocaña, Colombia. ORCID: 0000-0003-4479-2874

Éder Norberto Flórez-Solano

Universidad Francisco de Paula Santander, Ocaña, Colombia. ORCID: 0000-0003-2527-0413

*Research article

^aCorresponding author. Email: daquinteroc@ufpso.edu.co

DOI: https://doi.org/10.11144/Javeriana.iyu24.dcem

How to cite this article:

D. A. Quintero-Coronel, E. E. Espinel-Blanco, and E. N. Flórez-Solano, "Development of a chemical equilibrium model of the downdraft fixed bed gasification process with known product temperature, using air as an oxidizing agent," *Ing. Univ.*, vol. 24, 2020. https://doi.org/10.11144/Javeriana.iyu24.dcem

Abstract

A chemical equilibrium model for known outlet temperature of the products was carried out on a downdraft fixed bed gasifier. Biomass from oil palm kernel is used with proximate and ultimate analysis; the general gasification reaction takes into account the biomass moisture, the reaction products are formed by CH₄, H₂O, N₂, CO, CO₂ and H₂. The model is described in detail and the equations are solved using the EES software. The model shows the results obtained for temperature ranges from 500 K to 1500 K and 1.0 atm. The model is validated by direct comparison of the gas composition with the results reported in the literature for similar conditions, obtaining favorable results

Keywords: chemical equilibrium, Gibbs energy, biomass, downdraft gasifier

Resumen

Se llevó a cabo un modelo en equilibrio químico para temperatura de salida de productos conocida en un gasificador de lecho fijo de corriente descendente. Se utiliza biomasa de cuesco de palma de aceite con análisis elemental y próximo conocido. La reacción general de gasificación tiene en cuenta la humedad de la biomasa, los productos de la reacción están formados por CH₄, H₂O, N₂, CO, CO₂ y H₂. Se describe detalladamente cómo se realiza el modelo, las ecuaciones son resueltas mediante el software EES. El modelo muestra los resultados obtenidos para rangos de temperatura de 500 K a 1500 K y 1.0 atm de presión. El modelo se validó mediante comparación directa de la composición de los gases con los resultados reportados en la literatura para condiciones similares, y así se obtuvieron resultados favorables.

Palabras clave: equilibrio químico, energía de Gibbs, biomasa, gasificador de corriente descendente

Introduction

Throughout human history, the use of fossil fuels has been the main driver of energy supply. However, the excessive use of these fuels has led to an increase in environmental pollution and a considerable decrease of them [1]. Given this, the scientific community has increased the search of renewable and sustainable sources of energy. Biomass is a renewable energy source that is currently being used as energy through various processes (gasification, combustion, pyrolysis, among others) to obtain higher value-added fuels.

Biomass is found in large quantities, there are various types: municipal waste, agricultural waste, animal waste, energy crops, among others. Biomass can be used for direct burning, thus providing thermal energy in the required locations, however, direct combustion thereof causes environmental impacts due to polluting emissions such as CO_2 or nitrous oxides, when the temperature of the products reaches relatively high values, these components are harmful to health and life on the planet. As an alternative to biomass combustion, gasification emerges as a promising technology, in which fuel gases with low calorific value are obtained for use in other applications. The advantage of gasification over combustion is the reduction of polluting emissions, since the process temperature does not reach values as high as those obtained in combustion, given that the amount of oxidizing agent is lower.

Gasification is the thermochemical process by which a carbon substrate is transformed by partial oxidation into a combustible gas containing, among other compounds, H₂, CO, CH₄, CO₂, and N₂, as well as various impurities or pollutants. The gas obtained allows its use in various current combustion machines, among which are internal combustion engines, turbines for electricity production, boilers, combustors, among others. It can also be used for the synthesis of higher value-added products [2].

Given the advantages of gasification, several authors have developed the process modeling in order to identify the characteristics of the process, while studying those variables that may affect it.

Several models have been developed in this topic; however, this work makes relevance on the models in chemical equilibrium, which allow predicting the greater composition that the process can reach under given operational conditions.

Jarungthammachote and Dutta [3], developed a chemical equilibrium model for a downdraft fixed bed gasifier, the authors use municipal solid waste as raw material, the model is used to analyze the effect of biomass moisture on the process, and is validated with the results

published by various authors, also, the model is analyzed according to the second law of thermodynamics.

A similar work was developed by Melgar et al. [4], in their model the authors establish that the overall reaction of gasification with air includes the products CH_4 , H_2O , N_2 , CO, CO_2 , H_2 , SO_2 and O_2 , the influence of the air-fuel ratio is investigated, as well as the moisture content on the process, assuming sufficient residence time to reach equilibrium and that all the carbon present in the biomass has been gasified, the model is validated with the data published by Jayah [5].

Khadse et al. [6] developed a model for predicting Syngas from the biomass gasification process in an updraft fixed bed reactor by thermochemical equilibrium. Biomass expressed as CHxOy, reacts with water steam and air to obtain a gas whose composition presents CO, CO₂, H₂ and CH₄. The model equations contain four atomic balances (C, O, H and N) and the relationships between the equations are solved using MATLAB. This model compares the gas concentration obtained for four types of biomass from India. A similar model was developed by Melgar et al [4]. Similarly, Mendiburu et al. [7] developed four models in thermochemical equilibrium based on empirical correlations using published experimental data, the authors validated their work with the data published by Jayah et al. [5]. On the other hand, other authors [8]-[11], developed equilibrium models through the implementation of commercial software, whose advantage lies in the speed of their calculation, which at the same time allows the implementation of other variables that are not considered in the mathematical models due to their complexity. One of the software frequently used to study the gasification process is Aspen Plus; this software consists of a series of work blocks that allow simulating the stages that occur in the gasification.

The model developed with this work tries to predict with great similarity the gas concentrations of CH_4 , H_2O , N_2 , CO, CO_2 , H_2 , and will be a basis for future work in greater detail. The model is developed under the chemical equilibrium, the biomass used is oil palm kernel with established characteristics. The model determines the amount of air needed for the development of the process. For solving the equations, the EES software was used since the system is a non-linear system.

Materials and methods

Initially, there is a brief description of the stages that occur in the gasification process in a fixed bed downdraft reactor, the considerations used for the calculation of the thermodynamic properties of the necessary components are shown, as well as the equations used; subsequently, the energy balance of the process is shown according to the first law of thermodynamics, followed by the parameters used to estimate the Gibbs energy of the compounds in the gasification process.

The Figure 1 shows the structure used for the development of the equilibrium model, then a description is made of the equations used and the calculations developed.



Figure 1. Structure of the simulation equilibrium model

The Figure 2 shows the stages of the gasification process for a downdraft fixed bed reactor.

The reactions that the material to be gasified undergoes when it comes into contact with the oxidizing agent and the energy released determine the gasification zones.





Source: Adapted from [12].

Source: Authors own elaboration.

The first stage of the process corresponds to the drying stage, whose typical temperature is around 100 to 200 °C. Conversion takes place due to heat transfer between the hot gases in the oxidation zone [13]. Following the drying stage, the pyrolysis zone is presented, which takes place when the biomass reaches a temperature between 200 and 600 °C and which is responsible for the thermal decomposition of the material in the absence of air to produce volatile, charcoal and condensable vapors of high and medium molecular weight called tars [14].

The reaction that occurs at the pyrolysis stage can be expressed as shown in equation 1.

$$C_n H_x O_y N_z S_r \xrightarrow{Heat} \sum_{liq} C_l H_q O_r N_t S_w + \sum_{gas} C_d H_a O_e N_f S_g + H_2 O + char$$
(1)

After the pyrolysis stage, the oxidation zone is presented, which provides the energy in the form of heat released by oxidizing the biomass, so that the drying, pyrolysis and other endothermic reactions develop during the reduction. In the latter, carbon dioxide and water are reduced to form carbon monoxide and hydrogen; more complex reactions also appear [14].

Parameter development and estimation

The biomass used in the process corresponds to oil palm kernel, the characteristics of it, are presented in Table 1, in this the term HHV refers to the higher heating value of biomass.

Ultimate and	alysis %	Proximate analysis %				
С	48.75	Moisture	5.91			
Н	5.55	Volatile	76.82			
Ν	0.80	Fixed carbon	13.71			
S	0.10	Ash	3.56			
0	35.33	HHV (kJ/kg)	19690			

Table 1. Elemental and proximate analysis of the biomass used

The analysis begins with the formulation of the general equation of biomass gasification (Equation 2) as reported by [3], the presence of sulfur has been ignored in order to reduce complexity in the calculations, as well as the formation of tar, the molecule $CH_xO_yN_z$ is formed from the coefficients *x*, *y*, *z*, which are estimated by equations 3, 4 and 5 respectively.

Source: Authors own elaboration.

$$CH_{x}O_{y}N_{z} + w(H_{2}O) + a(O_{2} + 3.76N_{2}) \rightarrow x_{1}H_{2} + x_{2}CO + x_{3}CO_{2} + x_{4}H_{2}O + x_{5}CH_{4} + \left(\frac{z}{2} + 3.76a\right)N_{2}$$
⁽²⁾

$$\frac{HM_c}{CM_H} = x \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{OM_c}{CM_o} = y \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{NM_c}{CM_N} = z \tag{5}$$

Where H, N, C, O are the percentages given by the ultimate analysis, M represents the molar mass of each element in $\frac{kg}{kmol}$. According to this, the molecule $CH_{1.366}O_{0.544}N_{0.014}$ is obtained.

From equation 2, *w*, *a*, *x*₁, *x*₂, *x*₃, *x*₄ and *x*₅ represent the number of moles of each component, $\left(\frac{z}{2}+3.76a\right)$ corresponds to the number of moles of *N*₂ in the reaction.

The moles of water in the reagents can be estimated using the following equation:

$$\% moisture = \frac{wMH_2O}{MCH_xO_yN_z + MH_2O}$$
(6)

From the proximate analysis the %moisture is obtained, when clearing from the previous equation the following is obtained:

$$w = \frac{\% moisture * MCH_x O_y N_z}{MH_2 O(1 - \% moisture)}$$
(7)

The atomic balance for the reaction of equation 2 corresponds to:

Carbon balance.

$$1 = x_2 + x_3 + x_5 \tag{8}$$

Hydrogen balance.

$$2w + x = 2x_1 + 2x_4 + 4x_5 \tag{9}$$

Oxygen balance.

$$y + w + 2a = x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 \tag{10}$$

Nitrogen in equation 2 is balanced.

Since it is necessary to solve the system of previous equations, it is necessary to specify three additional equations because there are six unknowns (a, x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 y x_5). Two of these equations are provided by the following reactions according to what is stated by [3]-[4].

Boudouard reaction.

$$C + CO_2 \leftrightarrow 2CO \tag{11}$$

Water-gas reaction

$$C + H_2 O \leftrightarrow CO + H_2 \tag{12}$$

Methane Reaction

$$C + 2H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_4 \tag{13}$$

The reactions (Equations 11 and 12) can be combined to obtain the Water-Gas Shift reaction.

$$CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2 \tag{14}$$

The equilibrium constants have to be calculated for the reactions given by equations 13 and 14 respectively, according to [3]-[4].

$$K_{13} = e^{\left\lfloor \frac{-(-2\bar{g}^{\circ}_{H_2} + 2\bar{g}^{\circ}_{CH_4})}{RT} \right\rfloor} = \frac{x_5}{x_1^2}$$
(15)

$$K_{14} = e^{\left[\frac{-(-\bar{g}\circ_{CO} - \bar{g}\circ_{H_2O} + g\circ_{CO_2} + \bar{g}\circ_{H_2})}{RT}\right]} = \frac{x_1 x_3}{x_2 x_4}$$
(16)

Where K_{13} and K_{14} refer to the equilibrium constants of the reactions shown in equations 15 and 16 respectively, in these equations, $\overline{g^{\circ}}$ is the Gibbs energy, R is the ideal gas constant, and T is the temperature.

Energy balance according to the first law of thermodynamics

In this model, it is assumed that reagents enter at standard conditions (25°C and 1.0 atm) and that the products come out at an assumed temperature T (773K) and 1.0 atm, in order to give a solution thereof. Figure 3 shows a general diagram of the energy balance.



Figure 3. General diagram of the energy balance for a reacting system

Source: Authors own elaboration.

The energy balance for a stationary flow system based on the first law of thermodynamics for a reacting system is given by:

$$Q_{out} = \sum_{\text{Reagents}} n_R (\overline{h_f^{\circ}} + \Delta \overline{h})_R - \sum_{\text{Products}} n_P (\overline{h_f^{\circ}} + \Delta \overline{h})_P$$
(17)

For the case presented here, the above equation is expressed as:

$$0 = (\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{CH_{x}O_{y}N_{z}} + \Delta \overline{h}_{CH_{x}O_{y}N_{z}}) + a(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{O_{2}} + \Delta \overline{h}_{O_{2}}) + w(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{H_{2}O(l)} + \Delta \overline{h}_{H_{2}O(l)}) + 3.76a(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{N_{2}} + \Delta \overline{h}_{N_{2}}) -x_{1}(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{H_{2}} + \Delta \overline{h}_{H_{2}}) - x_{2}(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{CO} + \Delta \overline{h}_{CO}) - x_{3}(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{CO_{2}} + \Delta \overline{h}_{CO_{2}}) - x_{4}(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{H_{2}O(g)} + \Delta \overline{h}_{H_{2}O(g)}) -x_{5}(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{CH_{4}} + \Delta \overline{h}_{CH_{4}}) - \left(\frac{z}{2} + 3.76a\right)(\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{N_{2}} + \Delta \overline{h}_{N_{2}})$$
(18)

Where $\overline{h_{f}}$ is the enthalpy of formation, $\Delta \overline{h}$ is the change of enthalpy, n_{R} and n_{P} represent the moles of the reagents and the products respectively.

The enthalpy of formation for the known elements is shown in Table 2. These values correspond to those reported in [15].

Enthalpy of formation	H ₂	СО	CO ₂	H2O(1)	H2O(g)	CH4	N 2	O 2
$\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}$ (kJ/kmol)	0	-110,530	-393,530	-285,830	-241,820	-74,850	0	0
Source: [15].								

Table 2. Enthalpy of formation for common components

The enthalpy of formation for the fuel is calculated according to that published by Souza Santos [16]

$$\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{combustible} = \overline{LHV} + \sum_{P} n_{P} (\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}})_{P}$$
(19)

Where corresponds to the enthalpy of formation of the products under the premise of complete combustion (Equation 20).

$$CH_{x}O_{y}N_{z} + a_{th}(O_{2} + 3.76N_{2}) \rightarrow bCO_{2} + dH_{2}O + eN_{2}$$
 (20)

From the balance of this equation, the values for $a_{th} = 1.0695$, b = 1, d = 0.683, e = 4.02832 are obtained.

For an initial estimate of the enthalpy of formation of the fuel, the value of the \overline{LHV} (on molar base) is approximated as the value provided by the laboratory analysis, this corresponds to a value of $438417.54 \frac{kJ}{kmol}$.

In this way, the enthalpy of formation of the fuel corresponds to:

$$\overline{h_{f}^{\circ}}_{combustible} = 438417.54 \, {}^{kJ}_{kmol} + \left(-393530(1) - 285530(0.683)\right) = -150324.35 \tag{21}$$

Enthalpy at specific and standard temperature for reagents and products

Under the established by [3], the calculation of enthalpy is carried out by means of equation 22, the coefficients thereof are shown in Table 3, here \overline{C}_{p} is the specific heat.

$$\Delta \bar{h}(T) = \int_{T_0}^T \overline{C}_p(T) dT = \int_{T_0}^T (a + bT + cT^2 + oT^3) dT$$
(22)

Gases	а	b	С	0
H_2	29.11	-0.1916×10^{-2}	0.4003×10^{-5}	-0.8704×10^{-9}
CO	28.16	0.1675×10^{-2}	0.5372×10^{-5}	-2.222×10^{-9}
CO_2	22.26	5.981×10^{-2}	-3.501×10^{-5}	-7.469×10^{-9}
$H_2O(g)$	32.24	0.1923×10^{-2}	1.055×10^{-5}	-3.595×10^{-9}
CH_4	19.89	5.204×10^{-2}	1.269×10^{-5}	-11.01×10 ⁻⁹
N_2	28.90	-0.1571×10^{-2}	0.8081×10^{-5}	-2.873×10^{-9}
O_2	25.48	1.520×10^{-2}	-0.715×10 ⁻⁵	-1.312×10^{-9}
		Source: [3]-[15].		

Table 3. Coefficients for determining enthalpy by equation 21

The calculated values as well as other data of interest are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Values \overline{h} at T and To									
Enthalpy	H_2	CO	CO ₂	H ₂ Og	CH4	N_2	O 2		
$\overline{h}(T)$	22468.2202	22896.8652	29019.1448	26799.4665	31893.7138	22858.0738	23019.3133		
$\overline{h}(To)$	8623.3010	8509.0601	8965.6081	9778.8810	8328.1344	8608.0643	8202.2922		
$\overline{h}(T) - \overline{h}(To)$	13844.9192	14387.8051	20053.5367	17020.5855	23565.5794	14250.0095	14817.0212		
$\overline{\vec{h_f}} + \overline{h}(T) - \overline{h}(To)$	13844.9192	-96142.1949	-373466.4633	-224799.4145	-51284.4206	14250.0095	14817.0212		
			Source: Authors	own elaboration					

Source: Authors own elaboration.

In this way, when expressing equation 18 with the values of the enthalpies, we have:

$$0 = (-150324.35) + w(-285830) + 0 - x_1(13844.9192) - x_2(-96142.1949) - x_3(-373466.4633) - x_4(-224799.4145) - x_5(-51284.4206) - \left(\frac{z}{2} + 3.76a\right)(14250.0095)$$

$$(23)$$

Gibbs energy calculation

According to equations 15 and 16, it is necessary to calculate the Gibbs energy (Equation 24) in order to find the equilibrium constants.

$$\Delta \overline{g}^{\circ} = \Delta h - T \Delta S^{\circ} \tag{24}$$

In order to do this, it is necessary to calculate the entropy at temperature T of the products and a To of the reagents. The entropy can be calculated by equation 25 according to the established by [15].

$$\Delta \overline{S}^{\circ}(T) = \int_{T_0}^{T} \frac{C_P(T)}{T} dT = a \ln\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right) + b(T - T_0) + \frac{c}{2}(T - T_0)^2 + \frac{o}{3}(T - T_0)^3 + \overline{S}^{\circ}$$
(25)

Where \overline{S}° corresponds to standard entropy. Table 5 summarizes the entropy values found.

Entropy (kJ/kmol.K)	\mathbf{H}_2	СО	CO ₂	H ₂ O(g)	CH4	O 2					
<u> </u>	130.68	197.65	213.8	188.83	186.16	130.68					
$\Delta \overline{S}^{\circ}$	157.937626	225.8139805	259.2112727	221.5358718	230.8761307	157.937626					
Source: Authors own algheration											

Table 5 Values of \overline{S}° and $\sqrt{\overline{S}^{\circ}}$ at T and To (T = 773 K)

Source: Authors own elaboration.

With these values, we proceed to find the Gibbs energy values (Table 6) for the components of interest, which allow finding the constants K_{13} and K_{14} , thus completing the system of non-linear equations.

Table 6. Values of $\overline{g^{\circ}}$ (for T = 773 K)									
Gibbs energy	H2	СО	CO ₂	H ₂ O(g)	CH4	O 2			
g°	-108.240.866	-270.696.402	-573.836.777	-396.046.643	-229751.67	-167374.2			
Source: Authors own elaboration.									

Results

The mole fractions of the gases obtained through temperature variation and equivalence ratio (ER) are shown, as well as the calculation of the lower heat value (LHV) and its behavior with temperature increase.

Products molar concentration

Figure 4 shows the mole fraction of the gases with the increase in process temperature, while Figure 5 shows the behavior with respect to the equivalence ratio.



Figure 4. Products mole fraction with temperature variation

Source: Authors own elaboration.



Figure 5. Product molar ratio with variation of equivalence ratio (ER)

Calculation of the LHV of the product gases

The lower calorific value of the gas is estimated from the gas concentration, using equation 26, taken from [17].

$$LHV_{(gas)} = (30*nCO+25.7*nH_2 + 85.4*nCH_4)*4.2$$
(26)

Equation 26 corresponds to the mole fraction of the gases.

Figure 6 shows the values obtained for the LHV of the product gases for temperature values in the range 500 K to 1500 K.





Source: Authors own elaboration.

Figure 6 shows the behavior of the calorific value of the gas obtained when varying the outlet temperature of the products, which indicates that in a real process if the temperature increases, while maintaining the biomass flow constant, the source of the rise in temperature is due to a higher amount of oxidant entering the reactor, which causes the process to get close to a combustion process and, therefore, less combustible gases are generated. Typical values of LHV in a fixed bed reactor that uses air as an oxidizing agent are in the range of 3 to 6 MJ/m³.

Model validation

The model results have been compared with those obtained by Huan et al. [18], Altafini et al. [19], Paviet et al. [9] and by Melgar et al. [4] for the conditions established by these (Table 7). Figures 7, 8, 9, and 10 show the results obtained.

								- J		
Authors	Temperature	Pressure	ER	С	Η	Ν	S	0	Ash	Moisture
	(K)	(kPa)		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Huan et al. [18]	1073.15	101.325	0.2953	51.034	6.776	2.642	0.37	39.178	0.0	16
Altafini et al. [19]	1073.15	-	0.3314	52	6.07	0.28	0.37	41.55	0.10	-
Paviet et al. [9]	1300	101.325	0.4262	50.6	6.5	0.2	0.0	42	0.7	0
Melgar et al. [4]	1410	-	0.4112	55.49	5.56	0.17	0.09	37.73	0	14.7
			-	-	-					

Table 7. Operation conditions Huan et al. [18]; Altafini et al. [19]; Paviet et al. [9]; Melgar et al. [4]

Source: Authors own elaboration.

50 45 MoleFraction(%) 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 CH4 CO2 H2CO N2 🗆 ALTAFINI 20.59 26.73 0.02 7.28 44.85 MODEL 17.481 22.568 2.513 8.871 46.763

Figure 7. Model results compared with Altafini et al. [19]

Source: Authors own elaboration.





Source: Authors own elaboration.



Figure 9. Model results compared with Paviet et al. [9]

Source: Authors own elaboration.

Figure 10. Model results compared with Melgar et al. [4]





Figures 7, 8, 9, and 10 show the mole fractions of the gas composition obtained by direct comparison with the results reported by Altafini et al. [19], Huang et al. [18], Paviet et al. [9] and Melgar et al. [4]. The composition of the gas is about 21% off from that reported by the authors (except for CH4, which exceeds this percentage); this trend is shown in Figure 7, in which the mole percent of the gases obtained are further off. However, starting from figures 8, 9 and 10, the model fits adequately to the values reported by Huan et al. [18], Paviet et al. [9], and Melgar et al. [4], which shows that the work developed is a good tool for the initial prediction of the gas obtained by fixed bed gasification using air as oxidant.

Conclusions

A model in chemical equilibrium has been developed for the gasification of palm oil kernel by means of the software EES, this type of models allows obtaining the greater composition that could be obtained when the biomass is gasified, in this case the oxidizing agent used is air.

The maximum temperature for the gasification process in a fixed bed reactor is around 800 °C to 1500 °C, in this work the maximum temperature of the reactor has been varied from 500 to 1500 K in order to observe its influence on the parameters of interest, the temperature has been the input value to the model for solving the system of non-linear equations obtained.

The lower calorific value for fixed bed gasification with oxidizing air ranges from 1.0 to 6.0 MJ/m³; these values are obtained for temperatures from 900 to 1500 K.

The model adequately predicts the compositions of CO, H_2 , CO_2 , and N_2 with values close to those reported in the literature, while the estimate of CH_4 in some cases is overestimated and underestimated, something characteristic in equilibrium models, this is the main limitation of the proposed model, which must be considered and adjusted in future work.

References

- [1] M. Bassyouni et al., "Date palm waste gasification in downdraft gasifier and simulation using ASPEN HYSYS," vol. 88, pp. 693–699, 2014.
- [2] G. García, "Estudio experimental de la cogasificación de fangos de EDAR y carbón con aire en lecho fluidizado," tesis, Universidad de Zaragoza, España, 2013.
- [3] S. Jarungthammachote and A. Dutta, "Thermodynamic equilibrium model and second law analysis of a downdraft waste gasifier," *Energy*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1660–1669, 2007.
- [4] A. Melgar, J.F. Pérez, H. Laget, and A. Horillo, "Thermochemical equilibrium modelling of a gasifying process," *Energ. Convers. Manage.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 59–67, 2007.
- [5] T.H. Jayah, L. Aye, R.J. Fuller, and D.F. Stewart, "Computer simulation of a downdraft wood gasifier for tea drying," *Biomass Bioenergy*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 459–469, 2003.
- [6] A. Khadse, P. Parulekar, P. Aghalayam, and A. Ganesh, "Equilibrium model for biomass gasification." Proceedings of the 1st National Conference on Advances in Energy Research (AER-2006), December 4-5, 2006-ISBN 9780230630062.
- [7] A.Z. Mendiburu, J.A. Carvalho, R. Zanzi, C.R. Coronado, and J.L. Silveira, "Thermochemical equilibrium modeling of a biomass downdraft gasifier: Constrained and unconstrained non-stoichiometric models," *Energy*, vol. 71, pp. 624–637, 2014.

- [8] A. Gagliano, F. Nocera, M. Bruno, and G. Cardillo, "Development of an Equilibrium-based Model of Gasification of Biomass by Aspen Plus," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 111, pp. 1010–1019, 2017.
- [9] F. Paviet, F. Chazarenc, and M. Tazerout, "Thermo chemical equilibrium modelling of a biomass gasifying process using ASPEN PLUS," *Int. J. Chem. React. Eng.*, vol. 7, p. A40, 2009.
- [10] N. Ramzan, A. Ashraf, S. Naveed, and A. Malik, "Simulation of hybrid biomass gasification using Aspen plus : A comparative performance analysis for food, municipal solid and poultry waste," *Biomass Bioenergy*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 3962–3969, 2011.
- [11] M. Fernandez-Lopez, J. Pedroche, J.L. Valverde, and L. Sánchez-Silva, "Simulation of the gasification of animal wastes in a dual gasifier using Aspen Plus??," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 140, pp. 211–217, 2017.
- [12] M. La Villetta, M. Costa, and N. Massarotti, "Modelling approaches to biomass gasification: A review with emphasis on the stoichiometric method," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 74, no. November 2016, pp. 71–88, 2017.
- [13] A.A.P. Susastriawan, H. Saptoadi, and Purnomo, "Small-scale downdraft gasifiers for biomass gasification: A review," *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.*, vol. 76, pp. 989–1003, 2017.
- [14] C.A. Estrada Martínez, "Modelado unidimensional y multipartícula del proceso de gasificación de biomasa lignocelulósica en una planta industrial," tesis doctoral, Universidad de Valladolid, España, 2015 [online]. Available: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7c12/c43242917ae6315d42a26e0f09a6be81c2ec.pdf
- [15] Y. A. Cengel and M. E. Boles, *Termodinamica-Cengel*, 7th. México: McGrow Hill, 2011.
- [16] Marcio L. de Souza-Santos, Solid Fuels Combustion and Gasification, 2nd ed. New York: CRC Press, 2010.
- [17] P. Kuo, W. Wu, and W. Chen, "Gasification performances of raw and torrefied biomass in a downdraft fixed bed gasifier using thermodynamic analysis," *Fuel*, vol. 117, pp. 1231–1241, 2014.
- [18] H.J. Huang and S. Ramaswamy, "Modeling biomass gasification using thermodynamic equilibrium approach," *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, vol. 154, no. 1–3, pp. 193–204, 2009.
- [19] C.R. Altafini, P.R. Wander, and R.M. Barreto, "Prediction of the working parameters of a wood waste gasifier through an equilibrium model," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 44, no. 17, pp. 2763– 2777, 2003.