**Table 4. Results of the scenario conflict among assigned tasks**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Motivator** | **Alternatives** | **Evaluation** | **Selection** |
| 1- Detailed and accurate models are required, so there is the need to establish the quantity of polygons for the PROPS | 2- If a big amount of polygons is used 4- Which is the most appropriate number of polygons without losing quality? 5- Our experience in the company indicates that the adequate number of polygons is 2478, | 3- We take the risk of reducing performance on image processing. 6- we can balance the loses, using shaders | 7- We are going to keep this amount and see how it works  |
| 1- As a non-associated animator, my work is to model the PROPS by using polygons.  | 2- From my experience, the adequate number of polygons is 320004- The company uses only 2478 polygon | 3- Because it gets closer to the clients and to a better experience due to the quality that is generated, the definition that is achieved and texturing quality. 5- Because it is a standard average to ensure performance on processing and an acceptable quality for clients.  | 6- Although the suggestion was well received, the other animators used the standard (2478) and wanted the product to follow this scheme.  |
| 1 – The client has demanded that the animation quality of the houses used in the video that have animated doors and windows, must be improved.  | 2 – a bigger amount of polygons for rendering  | 3-however, this would imply redesigning the PROPs related to the houses. 5- therefore, no change will be made,  | 4- Given that the standard use of 2478 polygons is a company quality policy, even if the petition was accepted when the contract was signed, this rule should always be followed. 6- given that it is a preventive measure to take care of the company`s image.  |