

Bioinoculant production composed by *Pseudomonas* sp., *Serratia* sp., and *Kosakonia* sp., preliminary effect on *Allium cepa* L., growth at plot scale

Andrea Blanco-Vargas^{1,2,3}, Lina M. Rodríguez-Gacha¹, Natalia Sánchez-Castro¹, Laura Herrera-Carlosama¹, Raúl A. Poutou-Piñales², Lucía A. Díaz-Ariza³, Viviana Gutiérrez-Romero⁴, Claudia M. Rivera-Hoyos^{1,2}, Leidy D. Ardila-Leal^{1,2}, Aura M. Pedroza-Rodríguez*¹

Table 1: Response variable results: pH, residual glucose (g L^{-1}), and soluble P soluble (mg L^{-1}) from the 12 evaluated treatments in the Plackett-Burman design for operation conditions and media composition selection. *a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h represent heterogeneous subsets of Tukeys statistical test with highly significant differences ($p < 0.0001$)

TREATMENT	FINAL pH	RESIDUAL GLUCOSE (g L^{-1})	SOLUBLE P (mg L^{-1})
T1	3.490 \pm 0.014	3.700 \pm 0.108	119.800 \pm 2.489 ^{a*}
T2	3.390 \pm 0.000	1.500 \pm 0.271	104.900 \pm 1.532 ^b
T3	3.390 \pm 0.000	0.700 \pm 0.068	109.000 \pm 3.830 ^b
T4	3.460 \pm 0.007	1.100 \pm 0.041	66.600 \pm 1.723 ^g
T5	3.390 \pm 0.000	0.800 \pm 0.054	105.700 \pm 3.255 ^b
T6	3.410 \pm 0.000	1.600 \pm 0.000	101.600 \pm 1.915 ^c
T7	3.480 \pm 0.007	1.000 \pm 0.071	76.300 \pm 2.298 ^f
T8	3.480 \pm 0.007	3.500 \pm 0.212	96.100 \pm 1.915 ^d
T9	3.300 \pm 0.014	2.800 \pm 0.707	83.900 \pm 0.718 ^e
T10	3.330 \pm 0.007	2.900 \pm 0.071	78.500 \pm 0.718 ^f
T11	3.360 \pm 0.000	1.200 \pm 0.000	80.200 \pm 2.011 ^e
T12	3.480 \pm 0.007	0.900 \pm 0.071	60.800 \pm 2.585 ^e